

Bruce Busby
258 Solana Drive
Los Altos CA 94022

Sep 4th 2018

Via ECFS
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

I write on this subject a second time because I want to dispute the representation by USTelecom that, "...competition will not be materially affected by forbearance from 251(c)(3) because there is effectively no remaining UNE-based competition in that marketplace." Upon understanding, belief and personal experience, I believe this representation to be utterly false and without merit.

I am currently provided, in the City of Los Altos, CA, copper line based internet (ADSL) and voice service by way of ATT/Pacbell lines provisioned by Sonic.net. My alternatives would be a more expensive (ignoring "limited time" come-on proffers) VHDL/Voip offering from AT&T or something wildly expensive from Comcast/Xfinity (* shudder *). In stark contrast to ATT and Comcast, Sonic provides free voicemail, free caller ID, a free fax line, and max-supported rate internet with no data cap (great for DirecTV Now if you DON'T have ATT data service with their 1TB cap).

All of these features are provided by way of the access granted to CLECs to un-bundled copper lines to a local central office. For at least the reasons recited, supra, there exists vibrant competition enabled by the terms to which the otherwise monopoly incumbents were bound. I respectfully entreat the Commission to DENY abrogation of 251(c)(3) and, in so doing, creating a monopolistic telecommunication landscape.

Sincerely,

Bruce Busby